

# Corporate Services Climate Change and Scrutiny Management Committee

10 March 2025

Report of the Director of Governance and the Chair of the CSCCSM Committee.

## **Review of the City of York Council Scrutiny Function**

## **Summary**

- 1. Following the February 2024, Local Government Association (LGA) Peer Challenge of the City of York Council, the subsequent report presented to the Council's Executive in May 2024 stated: "Scrutiny arrangements at CYC would benefit from review. As a function of governance, it can be hugely beneficial for quality of decision-making if it is fully supported and empowered to be a positive and integral part of policy development. It is clear that this is recognised by the administration as an area where a fresh look at how improvements can be made would also be a good use of time and effort."
- 2. The Centre for Governance and Scrutiny (CfGS) was commissioned to undertake a Scrutiny Impact Review of the City of York Council. The review was conducted in November and December 2024.
- 3. This report provides members with the final CfGS recommendations following the Scrutiny Impact Review, and a proposed action plan to address each of the recommendations, for members' consideration.

## Background

4. Overview and scrutiny committees were established in English and Welsh local authorities by the Local Government Act 2000. They were intended as a counterweight to the new executive structures created by that Act. Their role was to develop and review policy and make recommendations to the council. Relevant councils must have at least one overview and scrutiny committee.

- 5. The legislative provisions for overview and scrutiny committees for England are set out in Section 9F of the Act as amended by Localism Act 2011. These state:
  - Executive arrangements by a local authority must ensure that its overview and scrutiny committee has power (or its overview and scrutiny committees, and any joint overview and scrutiny committees, have power between them)—
  - to review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge of any functions which are the responsibility of the executive,
  - to make reports or recommendations to the authority or the executive with respect to the discharge of any functions which are the responsibility of the executive,
  - to review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge of any functions which are not the responsibility of the executive,
  - to make reports or recommendations to the authority or the executive with respect to the discharge of any functions which are not the responsibility of the executive,
  - to make reports or recommendations to the authority or the executive on matters which affect the authority's area or the inhabitants of that area.
- 6. Overview and scrutiny committees must have the power to 'call in' decisions made by their executives but not yet implemented. The statutory minimum requirement is that "call in" enables the scrutiny committee to recommend that the decision be reconsidered by the person who made it.
- 7. Local authorities also have limited powers of 'external scrutiny', where their committees look at issues which lie outside the council's responsibilities, with specific powers to scrutinise health bodies, community safety partnerships, and Police and Crime Commissioners.
- 8. The City of York council Scrutiny structure consists of four Scrutiny Committees, each of which has 10 scheduled meetings each year:

- Corporate Services, Climate Change and Scrutiny Management Committee
- Children, Culture and Communities Scrutiny Committee
- Economy, Place, Access and Transport Scrutiny Committee
- Health, Housing and Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee
- 9. The Corporate Services, Climate Change and Scrutiny Management Committee acts as the overarching committee, meeting separately as a "calling in" committee to consider call in requests.

#### The CfGS Review Recommendations

- 10. The Review process ran through November and December 2024, and consisted of an online, written survey of all members, and then a series of interviews, mainly in person but with some conducted via Teams/hybrid, with the following:
  - Scrutiny Chairs and Vice Chairs
  - Executive Members
  - Leader of the Council
  - Deputy Leader of the Council
  - Labour Group members
  - Liberal Democrat and Conservative Group members
  - Liberal Democrat Group Leader
  - Conservative Group Leader
  - Former Scrutiny Chairs
  - Independent Member
  - Chief Operating Officer
  - Monitoring Officer
  - Chief Finance Officer
  - Labour Group Political Assistant
  - Liberal Democrat Group Political Assistant
- 11. The interviews consisted of questions and discussions, designed to identify views on the effectiveness of Scrutiny; the operating culture, behaviours, relationships, and mindset within the authority in relation to Scrutiny; the level of skills and capacity in the organisation and the desire to change and improve the way that Scrutiny is undertaken.
- 12. The lead reviewer offered all Scrutiny and non-Executive members an opportunity to hear the draft recommendations at a briefing on 20

- January 2025, and provided a separate briefing for Executive members on 28 January.
- 13. The draft recommendation report was received on 14 February and was circulated to Scrutiny Chairs, the three Group Leaders and the Chief Operating Officer, Monitoring Officer and Chief Finance Officer, with requests for comments and observations by Friday 21 February. The final recommendation report was received on Monday 24 February and is appended to this report at Annex A.
- 14. There are twelve recommendations contained within the report. The aim of the recommendations is, in very general terms, to change the culture of the organisation in the way that it conducts scrutiny, to develop a shared vision across the authority and to ensure application of best practices along with statutory and non-statutory guidance, to ensure scrutiny processes are effective and aligned with national standards.
- 15. At Annex B is a proposed action plan, drafted by officers, for members to consider which recommendations they support and how they consider they can best be implemented. These are only suggestions; it is for members to determine the actions they wish to see implemented. It is also important to remember that no change is "final"; if a change is tried and is unsuccessful, it can be altered.
- 16. One of the very strong recommendations from all members interviewed, was the need for a dedicated Scrutiny Officer to properly support and advise members to deliver good scrutiny across the organisation. There is potential capacity and some resource within Democratic Governance to deliver this, however, this is partly dependent upon delivery of some of the other recommendations which impact the number of committees and the frequency of formal meetings.
- 17. Members will note the proposed shift in focus of the format and purpose of the Scrutiny Committees, so that the bulk of the pre scrutiny work is undertaken by Task and Finish Groups (TFG). The Committees would be responsible for setting work plans, and for receiving the recommendations of the TFGs. Undertaking scrutiny in this way does not necessarily mean that scrutiny will be less visible; members have the power to decide whether it wishes to hold TFG meetings in the public domain. Further, membership of TFGs is not restricted to scrutiny members; any elected member with the interest, expertise and knowledge of the topic to be

scrutinised may be appointed to a TFG. External participants may also be appointed. This therefore represents an opportunity to open up the interaction with the scrutiny process to a much wider audience.

- 18. Recommendation 8 concerns the structure of scrutiny committees, which it states should be better aligned to reflect council business and goes on the explain that this means fewer committees, not more. The suggested structure set out in the action plan reflects best practice as set out in the Good Scrutiny Guide and is based on a split between "People" and "Place", with the "Place Committee" holding the statutory powers of call in, currently held by this Committee. However, this is just an officer suggestion, and it is for members to determine what that structure should be.
- 19. Recommendation 9 concerns the frequency of meetings, and members may recall the discussion at the briefings where it was suggested that bimonthly meetings would afford the opportunity for informal member briefings in the intervening months. The scrutiny agendas currently contain a lot of information items, and the review recommends that these should be presented to members in a different way, rather than taking up valuable space at business meetings. Along with member briefings, there is also work being undertaken within Democratic Governance to develop and strengthen the member training and development programme, with the potential to enhance the member internet portal to provide a library for information notes and briefings.
- 20. Many of the recommendations will require an ongoing programme of work, to develop the vision for scrutiny and the protocols and procedures that will provide the framework with which to deliver that vision. It is proposed that a working group be formed, and it is suggested that this comprises the current Scrutiny Committee Chairs and Vice Chairs, to work with the Statutory Scrutiny Officer and relevant officers from the Democratic Services team, to develop those plans. At a suitable point, the Leader and Deputy Leader will be invited to meet with the Working Group to seek agreement on the shared vision, and on the protocols for collaboration and work planning.
- 21. Finally, the report recognises the need for robust training for members. It is proposed to commission appropriate training for scrutiny members, for Executive members and for officers.

22. The comments from this meeting will be reported to Executive at its meeting on Tuesday 11 March. The intention, depending upon the Executive's recommendations, will be to submit a report to the meeting of Full Council on 27 March, for Council to determine any matters relating to the structure and makeup of the scrutiny committees, along with any changes members may wish to make in respect of named substitutes (Recommendation 3).

## **Implications**

- 23. **Financial** There will be costs associated with the provision of training for members and officers. These can be met from existing budgets. The cost of adding a Scrutiny Officer post to the Democratic Services team can be partly met from within the current staffing budget of the Democratic Governance service, however, additional resource may be required depending upon the outcome of the Grading/ evaluation process.
- 24. **Human Resources (HR)** The appropriate processes will be followed in respect of the establishment of a Scrutiny Officer post.
- 25. **Legal** The Council has a statutory duty to operate a scrutiny function.
- 26. **Equalities and Human Rights** None directly arising from the report.
- 27. **Reputational** Failing to review the way in which Scrutiny operates at CYC, and the structures and resources that support Scrutiny, may have a detrimental effect, both internally and externally, on the reputation of the authority in terms of the effectiveness of the Scrutiny function.

## **Proposal**

28. Members are asked to consider, and make comment on, the twelve recommendations of the Scrutiny Impact Review, alongside the proposed action plan, for presentation to Executive on 11 March.

#### **Contact Details**

Author:	Chief Officer Responsible for the report:
Lindsay Tomlinson	Bryn Roberts
Head of Democratic Governance	Director of Governance
Lindsay.tomlinson@york.gov.uk	

Report Date 27/02/25
Approv ed

Wards Affected: All ✓

For further information please contact the author of the report

## **Background Papers:**

LGA Peer Review Report: <u>LGA Corporate Peer Challenge Final Report</u> (york.gov.uk)

Statutory Guidance, Overview & Scrutiny:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/overview-and-scrutiny-statutory-guidance-for-councils-combined-authorities-and-combined-county-authorities/overview-and-scrutiny-statutory-guidance-for-councils-combined-authorities-and-combined-county-authorities

CfGS the Good Scrutiny Digest: Resources - CFGS

Annex A: York Scrutiny Impact Report

**Annex B:** Draft Scrutiny Review Action Plan